

Singular integers and p -class group of cyclotomic fields

Roland Quême

2009 Oct 19

Abstract

Let p be an irregular prime. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ be the p -cyclotomic field. From Kummer and class field theory, there exist Galois extensions S/\mathbb{Q} of degree $p(p-1)$ such that S/K is a cyclic unramified extension of degree $[S : K] = p$. We give an algebraic construction of the subfields M of S with degree $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = p$ and an explicit formula for the prime decomposition and ramification of the prime number p in the extensions S/K , M/\mathbb{Q} and S/M . In the last section, we examine the consequences of these results for the Vandiver's conjecture. This article is at elementary level on Classical Algebraic Number Theory.

Contents

1	Some definitions	1
2	Some preliminary results	2
3	Singular K-extensions	4
4	Singular \mathbb{Q}-fields	5
5	Algebraic properties of singular \mathbb{Q}-fields	8
6	The ramification in the singular primary \mathbb{Q}-fields	9

1 Some definitions

In this section we give some definitions and notations on cyclotomic fields, p -class group, singular numbers, primary and non-primary, used in this paper.

1. Let p be an odd prime. Let ζ be a root of the polynomial equation $X^{p-1} + X^{p-2} + \cdots + X + 1 = 0$. Let K be the p -cyclotomic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and O_K its ring of integers. Let K^+ be the maximal totally real subfield of K , O_{K^+} its ring of integers and $O_{K^+}^*$ the group of unit of O_{K^+} . Let v be a primitive root mod p and $\sigma : \zeta \rightarrow \zeta^v$ be a \mathbb{Q} -automorphism of K . Let G be the Galois group of the extension K/\mathbb{Q} . Let \mathbf{F}_p be the finite field of cardinal p and \mathbf{F}_p^* its multiplicative group. Let $\lambda = \zeta - 1$. The prime ideal of K lying over p is $\pi = \lambda O_K$.
2. Let C_p be the p -class group of K_p (the set of classes whose order is 1 or p). Let r be the rank of C_p seen as a $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$ -module. If $r > 0$ then p is irregular. Let C_p^+ be the p -class group of K_p^+ . Let r^+ be the rank of K_p^+ . Then $C_p = C_p^+ \oplus C_p^-$ where C_p^- is the relative p -class group.
3. C_p is the direct sum of r subgroups Γ_i of order p , each Γ_i annihilated by a polynomial $\sigma - \mu_i \in \mathbf{F}_p[G]$ with $\mu_i \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$,

$$(1) \quad C_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Gamma_i.$$

Then $\mu \equiv v^n \pmod{p}$ with a natural integer n , $1 \leq n \leq p-2$.

4. An integer $A \in O_K$ is said singular if $A^{1/p} \notin K$ and if there exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} of O_K such that $AO_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$. Observe that, with this definition, a unit $\eta \in O_{K^+}^*$ with $\eta^{1/p} \notin O_{K^+}^*$ is singular.
5. A number $A \in K$ is said *semi-primary* if $v_\pi(A) = 0$ and if there exists a natural integer a such that $A \equiv a \pmod{\pi^2}$. A number $A \in K$ is said *primary* if $v_\pi(A) = 0$ and if there exists a natural integer a such that $A \equiv a^p \pmod{\pi^p}$. Clearly a primary number is semi-primary. A number $A \in K$ is said *hyper-primary* if $v_\pi(A) = 0$ and if there exists a natural integer a such that $A \equiv a^p \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}$.

2 Some preliminary results

In this section we recall some properties of singular numbers given in Quême [5] in theorems 2.4 p. 4, 2.7 p. 7 and 3.1 p. 9. Let Γ be one of the r subgroups Γ_i defined in relation (1).

1. If $r^- > 0$ and $\Gamma \subset C_p^-$: then there exist singular semi-primary integers A with $\overline{AO_K = \mathfrak{a}^p}$ where \mathfrak{a} is a **non**-principal ideal of O_K and verifying simultaneously

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} Cl(\mathfrak{a}) &\in \Gamma, \quad Cl(\mathfrak{a}^{\sigma^{-\mu}}) = 1, \\ \sigma(A) &= A^\mu \times \alpha^p, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*, \quad \alpha \in K, \\ \mu &\equiv v^{2m+1} \pmod{p}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}, \\ \pi^{2m+1} &\mid A - a^p, \quad a \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p-1. \end{aligned}$$

In that case we say that A is a *negative* singular integer to point out that $Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in C_p^-$. Moreover, this number A verifies

$$(3) \quad A \times \overline{A} = D^p,$$

for some integer $D \in O_{K+}^*$.

- (a) Either A is singular non-primary with $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A - a^p$.
- (b) Or A is singular primary with $\pi^p \mid A - a^p$. In that case we know from class field theory that $r^+ > 0$.

(see Quême [5] theorem 2.4 p. 4). The singular primary negative numbers are interesting because they exist if and only if $h^+ \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (the Vandiver conjecture is false).

2. If $r^+ > 0$ and $\Gamma \subset C_p^+$: then there exist singular semi-primary integers A with $AO_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$ where \mathfrak{a} is a **non**-principal ideal of O_K and verifying simultaneously

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} Cl(\mathfrak{a}) &\in \Gamma, \quad Cl(\mathfrak{a}^{\sigma^{-\mu}}) = 1, \\ \sigma(A) &= A^\mu \times \alpha^p, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*, \quad \alpha \in K, \\ \mu &\equiv v^{2m} \pmod{p}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}, \\ \pi^{2m} &\mid A - a^p, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p-1, \end{aligned}$$

In that case we say that A is a *positive* singular integer to point out that $Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in C_p^+$. Moreover, this integer A verifies

$$(5) \quad \frac{A}{\overline{A}} = D^p,$$

for some number $D \in K_p^+$. If $h^+ \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $D \neq 1$ is possible, for instance with $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}$ where \mathfrak{q} is a prime ideal of O_K , $Cl(\mathfrak{q}) \in C_p^+$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

- (a) Either A is singular non-primary with $\pi^{2m} \parallel A - a^p$.
- (b) Or A is singular primary with $\pi^p \mid A - a^p$.

(see Quême, [5] theorem 2.7 p. 7).

3. If $\mu \equiv v^{2m} \pmod{p}$ with $1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$: then there exist singular units $A \in O_{K+}^*$ with

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(A) &= A^\mu \times \alpha^p, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*, \quad \alpha \in O_{K+}^*, \\ \mu &\equiv v^{2m} \pmod{p}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}, \\ \pi^{2m} &\mid A - a^p, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p-1, \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Either A is non-primary with $\pi^{2m} \parallel A - a^p$.
 - (b) Or A is primary with $\pi^p \mid A - a^p$.
- (see Quême, [5] theorem 3.1 p. 9).

The sections 3, 4 and 5 are, for a large part, a reformulation of Hilbert theory of *Kummer Fields*, see [1] paragraph 125 p. 225.

3 Singular K -extensions

Some Definitions :

1. In this section, let us denote Γ one of the r subgroups of order p of C_p defined by relation (1). Let A be a singular semi-primary integer, negative or positive, verifying respectively the relations (2) or (4). We call $S = K(A^{1/p})/K$ a singular negative, respectively positive K -extension if $\Gamma \in C_p^-$, respectively $\Gamma \in C_p^+$.
2. Let A be a singular unit verifying the relation (6). We call $S = K(A^{1/p})/K$ a singular unit K -extension.
3. A singular K -extension $S = K(A^{1/p})$ is said primary or non-primary if the singular number A is primary or non-primary.
4. If S is primary then the extension S/K is, from Hilbert class field theory, the cyclic unramified extension of degree p corresponding to Γ .
5. Observe that the extensions S/\mathbb{Q} are Galois extensions of degree $p(p-1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *There is one and only one singular negative K -extension corresponding to a group $\Gamma \subset C_p^-$.*

Proof. For Γ given let us consider two singular negative K -extensions S/K and S'/K . $AO_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$ and $A'O_K = \mathfrak{a}'^p$. The polynomial $\sigma - \mu$ annihilates $\langle Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ and $\langle Cl(\mathfrak{a}') \rangle$. Then $\langle Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle Cl(\mathfrak{a}') \rangle = \Gamma$, thus there exists n , $1 \leq n \leq p-1$ such that $Cl(\mathfrak{a}^n) = Cl(\mathfrak{a}')$. Therefore $A^n = A' \times \gamma^p \times \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in O_K^*$, $\gamma \in K$. It follows, from $A\bar{A} = D^p$ and $A'\bar{A}' = D'^p$ with $D, D' \in O_{K+}$, that $\varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon} \in O_{K+}^{*p}$. Therefore $\varepsilon = \zeta^w \varepsilon_1^p$, $\varepsilon_1 \in O_{K+}^*$. Then $A^n = A' \gamma^p \zeta^w \varepsilon_1^p \zeta^w$. A and A' are semi-primary, thus it follows that $w = 0$. Therefore $K(A^{1/p}) = K(A'^{1/p})$. \square

Remark: Observe that we consider in this article only singular semi-primary numbers. Let A be a singular semi-primary number. Then $A' = A\zeta$ is not semi-primary and $K(A^{1/p}) \neq K(A'^{1/p})$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mu \neq 1$ and $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ there is one and only one singular unit K -extension S/K depending only on μ .*

Proof. The subgroup of O_{K+}^*/O_{K+}^{*p} annihilated by $\sigma - \mu$ is of order p and the rank of O_{K+}^*/O_{K+}^{*p} is $\frac{p-3}{2}$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *π is the only prime which can ramify in the singular K -extension S/K and the relative discriminant of S/K is a power of π .*

Proof. S/K is unramified except possibly at π , (see for instance Washington [8] exercise 9.1 (b) p. 182). The result for relative discriminant follows. \square

Lemma 3.4.

1. *There are r^+ singular primary negative K -extensions S/K .*
2. *There are $r^- - r^+$ singular non-primary negative K -extensions S/K .*

Proof. The first part results of classical theory of p -Hilbert class field applied to the field K and of previous definition of singular K -extensions S_μ (see for instance the result of Furtwangler in Ribenboim [6] (6C) p. 182) and the second part is an immediate consequence of the first part. \square

4 Singular \mathbb{Q} -fields

Let A be a semi-primary integer, negative (see definition (2)), positive (see definition (4)) or unit (see definition (6)). Let ω be an algebraic number defined by

$$(7) \quad \omega = A^{(p-1)/p}.$$

We had chosen this definition instead of $\omega = A^{1/p}$ because $A^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ simplifies computations. Then $S = K(\omega)$ is the corresponding singular K -extension. Observe that this definition implies that $\omega \in O_S$ ring of integers of S .

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that S/K is a singular primary K -extension. Let $\theta : \omega \rightarrow \omega\zeta$ be a K -isomorphism of the field S . Then, A is hyperprimary and there are p prime ideals of O_S lying over π . There exists a prime ideal π_0 of O_S lying over π such that the p prime ideals $\pi_n = \theta^n(\pi_0)$, $n = 0, \dots, p-1$ of O_S lying over π verify the congruences*

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_0^2 &\mid \omega - 1, \\ \pi_n &\parallel \omega - 1, \dots, n = 1, \dots, p-1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

1. From Hilbert class field theory and Principal Ideal Theorem the prime principal ideal π of K splits totally in the extension S/K . The ideal π does not correspond to the case III.c in Ribenboim [6] p. 168 because π is not ramified in S/K . The ideal π does not correspond to the case III.b in Ribenboim [6] p. 168 because π is not inert in S/K . Therefore π corresponds to the case III.a and it follows that there exists $a_1 \in O_K$ such that $A \equiv a_1^p \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}$. Therefore there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \equiv a_1 \pmod{\pi}$ and $A \equiv a^p \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}$, thus A is a singular hyper-primary number and $A^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}$.
2. Then $\omega^p - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}$. Let $\theta : \omega \rightarrow \omega\zeta$ be a K -automorphism of the field S . Let Π' is any of the p prime ideals of O_S lying over π . Then $\pi O_S = \prod_{n'=0}^{p-1} \pi_{n'}$ where $\pi'_n = \theta^n(\Pi')$, $n = 0, \dots, p-1$ are the p prime ideals of O_S lying over π .
3. From $A^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}$ we see that

$$\omega^p - 1 = \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} (\omega\zeta^{-n} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_0^{p+1} \pi_1^{p+1} \dots \pi_{p-1}^{p+1}}.$$

It follows that there exists a prime ideal Π of O_S lying over π such that $\omega - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\Pi^2}$ because there exists l such that $\omega\zeta^l - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_0'^2}$ so $\Pi = \theta^{-l}(\pi_0')$, and that $\Pi \parallel \omega\zeta^{-n} - 1$ for $n = 1, \dots, p-1$ because $\Pi \parallel \zeta - 1$. Let us note $\pi_n = \theta^n(\Pi)$ for $n = 0, \dots, p-1$. It follows that, for $n = 1, \dots, p-1$, $\pi_n \parallel \omega\zeta^n\zeta^{-n} - 1$ and so

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_0^2 &\mid \omega - 1, \\ \pi_n &\parallel \omega - 1, \dots, n = 1, \dots, p-1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that S/K is a singular non primary K -extension. Let Π be the prime of S lying over π . Then $\Pi \mid \omega - 1$.*

Proof. The extension S/K is ramified therefore $\pi O_S = \Pi^p$. $A^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^n}$ for some $n > 1$ and so $\omega^p - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\Pi^{np}}$ because $\pi O_S = \Pi^p$. Therefore $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{\Pi}$. □

We know that there are p different automorphisms of the field S extending the \mathbb{Q} -automorphism σ of the field K .

Lemma 4.3. *There exists an automorphism σ_μ of S/\mathbb{Q} extending σ such that*

$$(10) \quad \omega^{\sigma_\mu - \mu} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^2}.$$

Proof.

From $\sigma(A) = A^\mu \alpha^p$ there exist p different automorphisms $\sigma_{(w)}$, $w = 0, \dots, p-1$, of the field S extending the \mathbb{Q} -isomorphism σ of the field K , defined by

$$(11) \quad \sigma_{(w)}(\omega) = \omega^\mu \alpha^{p-1} \zeta^w,$$

for natural numbers $w = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. There exists one and only one w such that $\alpha^{p-1} \times \zeta^w$ is a semi-primary number (or $\alpha^{p-1} \times \zeta^w \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^2}$). Let us set $\sigma_\mu = \sigma_{(w)}$ to emphasize the role of μ . Therefore we get

$$(12) \quad \sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \pmod{\pi^2},$$

because $\omega, \sigma_\mu(\omega) \in O_S$. □

Lemma 4.4. $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega$.

Proof. We have $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(A) = \sigma^{p-1}(A) = A$ therefore there exists a natural integer w_1 such that $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega \times \zeta^{w_1}$. We have proved in relation (12) that

$$(13) \quad \sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \pmod{\pi^2},$$

thus $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) \equiv \omega^{\mu^{p-1}} \equiv \omega \times A^{(p-1)(\mu^{p-1}-1)/p} \equiv \omega \pmod{\pi^2}$ which implies that $w_1 = 0$ and that $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega$. □

Let us define $\Omega \in O_S$ ring of integers of S by the relation

$$(14) \quad \Omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma_\mu^i(\omega).$$

Theorem 4.5. $M = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega)$ is a field with $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = p$, $[S : M] = p-1$ and $\sigma_\mu(\Omega) = \Omega$.

Proof.

1. Show that $\Omega \neq 0$: If S/K is unramified, then $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ implies with definition of Ω that $\Omega \equiv p-1 \pmod{\pi}$ and so $\Omega \neq 0$. If S/K is ramified, then $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{\Pi}$ implies with definition of Ω that $\Omega \equiv p-1 \pmod{\Pi}$ because $\sigma_\mu(\Pi) = \Pi$ and so $\Omega \neq 0$.
2. Show that $\Omega \notin K$: from $\sigma_\mu(\omega) = \omega^\mu \alpha^{p-1} \zeta^w$ we get

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \omega^{\mu^i} \pmod{p} \times \beta_i,$$

with $\beta_i \in K$. Putting together terms of same degree we get $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \gamma_j \omega^j$ where $\gamma_j \in K$ are not all null because $\Omega \neq 0$. $\Omega \in K$ should imply the polynomial equation $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \omega^j \times \gamma_j - \gamma = 0$ with $\gamma \in K$, not possible because the minimal polynomial equation of ω with coefficients in K is $\omega^p - A^{p-1} = 0$.

3. Show that $M = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega)$ verifies $M \subset S$ with $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = p$ and $[S : M] = p - 1$: S/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension with $[S : \mathbb{Q}] = (p - 1)p$. Let G_S be the Galois group of S/\mathbb{Q} . Let $\langle \sigma_\mu \rangle$ be the subgroup of G_S generated by the automorphism $\sigma_\mu \in G_S$. We have seen in lemma 4.4 that $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega$. In the other hand $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\zeta) = \zeta$ and $\sigma_\mu^n(\zeta) \neq \zeta$ for $n < p - 1$ and so $\langle \sigma_\mu \rangle$ is of order $p - 1$.
4. From fundamental theorem of Galois theory, there is a fixed field $M = S^{\langle \sigma_\mu \rangle}$ with $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = [G_S : \langle \sigma_\mu \rangle] = p$. From $\sigma_\mu(\Omega) = \Omega$ seen and from definition relation (14) it follows that $\Omega \in M$ and from $\Omega \notin K$ it follows that $M = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega)$. Thus $S = M(\zeta)$ and $\omega \in S$ can be written

$$(15) \quad \omega = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \omega_i \lambda^i, \quad \omega_i \in M.$$

with $\lambda = \zeta - 1$ and with $\sigma_\mu(\omega_i) = \omega_i$ because $\sigma_\mu(\Omega) = \Omega$.

□

Some definitions: The field $M \subset S$ is called a singular \mathbb{Q} -field. In the sequel of this paper we are studying some algebraic properties and ramification of singular \mathbb{Q} -fields M . A singular \mathbb{Q} -field M is said primary (respectively non-primary) if S is a singular primary (respectively non-primary) K -extension.

5 Algebraic properties of singular \mathbb{Q} -fields

1. From Galois theory there are p subfields M_i , $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$, of S of degree $[M_i : \mathbb{Q}] = p$.
2. The extension S/\mathbb{Q} is Galois. Let $\theta : \omega \rightarrow \omega\zeta$ be a K -automorphism of S . There are p automorphisms σ_i , $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$, of S extending the \mathbb{Q} -automorphism σ of K verifying $\sigma_i(\theta^i(\omega)) = (\theta^i(\omega))^\mu \beta$ for the semi-primary $\beta \in K$.
3. We have defined in relation (14) $\Omega = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \sigma_\mu^k(\omega)$. For $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$ we can define similarly $\Omega_i = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \sigma_\mu^k(\theta^i(\omega))$. Then we show in following result that the fields M_i can be explicitly defined by $M_i = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$.

Lemma 5.1. *The singular \mathbb{Q} -fields $M_i = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$, are the p subfields of degree p of the singular K -extension S/K .*

Proof.

1. We set here $\sigma_0 = \sigma_\mu$ and $M_0 = M$. Show that the fields M_0, M_1, \dots, M_{p-1} are pairwise different: $\sigma_i(\theta^i(\omega)) = (\theta^i(\omega))^\mu \beta$, hence $\sigma_i(\omega \zeta^i) = (\omega \zeta^i)^\mu \beta$, hence

$$(16) \quad \sigma_i(\omega) = \omega^\mu \beta \zeta^{i(\mu-v)}.$$

Suppose that $M_i = M_{i'}$: then the subgroups $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_{i'} \rangle$ of $\text{Gal}(S/\mathbb{Q})$ corresponding to the fixed fields M_i and $M_{i'}$ are equal. Therefore there exists a natural integer l , $1 \leq l \leq p-2$ coprime with $p-1$ such that $\sigma_{i'} = \sigma_i^l$.

2. $\sigma_{i'}(\zeta) = \sigma_i^l(\zeta)$, hence $\zeta^v = \zeta^{v^l}$, hence $v \equiv v^l \pmod{p}$, hence $v^{l-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, hence $l-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ and therefore $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$. In the other hand $1 \leq l \leq p-2$, thus $l = 1$ and $\sigma_i(\omega) = \sigma_{i'}(\omega)$. From relation (16) this implies that $i = i'$.

□

In the following theorem, we give an explicit computation of Ω_i for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$. Let us denote μ_k for $\mu^k \pmod{p}$.

Lemma 5.2. *The subfields of degree p of the singular K -extension S are the singular \mathbb{Q} -fields $M_i = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, p-1$, where*

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_i &= \theta^i(\Omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \omega^{\mu^k} \beta^{(\sigma^k - \mu^k)/(\sigma - \mu)} \zeta^{i\mu^k}, \\ \Omega_i &= \theta^i(\Omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \omega^{\mu^k} A^{(p-1)(\mu^k - \mu_k)/p} \beta^{(\sigma^k - \mu^k)/(\sigma - \mu)} \zeta^{i\mu^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start of $\Omega_i = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \sigma_i^k(\theta^i(\omega))$ and we compute $\sigma_i^k(\theta^i(\omega))$. Let us note $\varpi_i = \theta^i(\omega)$. $\sigma_i(\varpi_i) = \varpi_i^\mu \beta$, hence $\sigma_i^2(\varpi_i) = \sigma(\varpi_i)^\mu \sigma(\beta) = (\varpi_i^\mu \beta)^\mu \sigma(\beta) = \varpi_i^{\mu^2} \beta^{\sigma+\mu}$. Pursuing up to k , we get $\sigma_i^k(\varpi_i) = \varpi_i^{\mu^k} \beta^{(\sigma^k - \mu^k)/(\sigma - \mu)}$. But $\varpi_i^{\mu^k} = (\omega \zeta^i)^{\mu^k} = \omega^{\mu^k} \zeta^{i\mu^k}$. We can also compute at first $\Omega = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \omega^{\mu^k} \beta^{(\sigma^k - \mu^k)/(\sigma - \mu)}$ and then verify directly that $\theta^i(\Omega) = \Omega_i$. Then $\omega^{\mu^k} = \omega^{\mu_k} A^{(p-1)(\mu^k - \mu_k)/p}$. □

6 The ramification in the singular primary \mathbb{Q} -fields

1. Observe at first that the case of singular non-primary \mathbb{Q} -fields can easily be described. The extension S/K is fully ramified at π , so $pO_S = \pi_S^{p(p-1)}$. Therefore there is only one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of M ramified with $pO_M = \mathfrak{p}^p$.

2. The end of this section deals with the ramification of singular primary \mathbb{Q} -fields M . In that case S/K is a cyclic unramified extension and there are p prime ideals in S/K over π .

Lemma 6.1. $\sigma_\mu(\pi_0) = \pi_0$

Proof. From relation (13) $\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \pmod{\pi^2}$. From lemma 4.1 $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_0^2}$ and so $\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_0^2}$. Then $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{\sigma_\mu^{-1}(\pi_0)^2}$. If $\sigma_\mu^{-1}(\pi_0) \neq \pi_0$ it follows that $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_0^2 \times \sigma^{-1}(\pi_0^2)}$, which contradicts lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\pi_k = \theta^k(\pi_0)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. Then $\sigma_\mu(\pi_k) = \pi_{n_k}$ with $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_k \equiv k \times v\mu^{-1} \pmod{p}$.*

Proof.

1. From $\pi_0^2 \mid (\omega - 1)$, it follows that $\theta^k(\pi_0^2) = \pi_k^2 \mid (\omega\zeta^k - 1)$. Then

$$\sigma_\mu(\pi_k)^2 \mid (\sigma_\mu(\omega) \times \zeta^{vk} - 1).$$

2. We have $\sigma_\mu(\pi_k) = \pi_{k+l_k}$ for some $l_k \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on k . From relation (13) we know that $\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \pmod{\pi^2}$. Therefore

$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid (\omega^\mu \times \zeta_p^{vk} - 1).$$

3. In an other part by the K -automorphism θ^{k+l_k} of S we have

$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid (\omega \times \zeta^{k+l_k} - 1),$$

so

$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid (\omega^\mu \times \zeta^{\mu(k+l_k)} - 1).$$

4. Therefore $\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid \omega^\mu(\zeta^{vk} - \zeta^{\mu(k+l_k)})$, and so

$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid (\zeta^{vk} - \zeta^{\mu(k+l_k)}),$$

5. This implies that $\mu(k+l_k) - vk \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $\mu l_k + k(\mu - v) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and finally that

$$l_k \equiv k \times \frac{v - \mu}{\mu},$$

where we know that $v - \mu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ from Stickelberger relation. Then $n_k \equiv k + k \times \frac{v-\mu}{\mu} = k \times \frac{v}{\mu} \pmod{p}$, which achieves the proof. \square

Lemma 6.3.

1. If S/K is a singular primary negative extension then $\sigma_\mu^{(p-1)/2}(\pi_k) = \pi_k$.
2. If S/K is a singular primary positive or unit extension then $\sigma_\mu^{(p-1)/2}(\pi_k) = \pi_{n-k}$.

Proof. From lemma 6.2 we have $\sigma_\mu^{(p-1)/2}(\pi_k) = \pi_{k'}$ with $k' \equiv kv^{(p-1)/2}\mu^{-(p-1)/2}$. If S/K is negative then $v^{(p-1)/2}\mu^{-(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and if S/K is positive or unit then $v^{(p-1)/2}\mu^{-(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 6.4. *The length of the orbit of the action of the group $\langle \sigma_\mu \rangle$ on π_0 is 1 and the length of the orbit of the action of the group $\langle \sigma_\mu \rangle$ on π_i , $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ is d where d is the order of $v\mu^{-1} \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. For π_0 see lemma 6.1. For π_k see lemma 6.2: $\sigma_\mu(\pi_k) = \sigma(\pi_{n_k})$ with $n_k \equiv v\mu^{-1} \pmod{p}$, then $\sigma_\mu^2(\pi_k) = \sigma(\pi_{n_{k_2}})$ with $n_{k_2} \equiv kv^2\mu^{-2} \pmod{p}$ and finally $n_{k_d} \equiv k \pmod{p}$. \square

The only prime ideals of M/\mathbb{Q} ramified are lying over p . The prime ideal of K over p is π . To avoid cumbersome notations, the prime ideals of S over π are noted here Π or $\Pi_i = \theta^i(\Pi_0)$, $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, and the prime ideals of M over p are noted \mathfrak{p} or \mathfrak{p}_j , $j = 1 \dots, \nu$ where $\nu + 1$ is the number of such ideals.

Theorem 6.5. *Let d be the order of $v\mu^{-1} \pmod{p}$. There are $\frac{p-1}{d} + 1$ prime ideals in the singular primary \mathbb{Q} -field M lying over p . Their prime decomposition and ramification is:*

1. $e(\mathfrak{p}_0/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$.
2. $e(\mathfrak{p}_j/p\mathbb{Z}) = d$ for all $j = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{d}$ with $d > 1$.

Proof.

1. preparation of the proof

- (a) The inertial degrees verifies $f(\pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $f(\Pi/\pi) = 1$ and so $f(\Pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$. Therefore, from multiplicativity of degrees in extensions, it follows that $f(\mathfrak{p}/p\mathbb{Z}) = f(\Pi/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ where Π is lying over \mathfrak{p} .
- (b) $e(\pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = p-1$ and $e(\Pi/\pi) = 1$ and so $e(\Pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = p-1$.
- (c) Classically, we get

$$(18) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} e(\mathfrak{p}_j/p\mathbb{Z}) = p,$$

where $\nu + 1$ is the number of prime ideals of M lying over p and where $e(\mathfrak{p}_j/p\mathbb{Z})$ are ramification indices dividing $p-1$ because, from multiplicativity of degrees in extensions, $e(\mathfrak{p}_j/p\mathbb{Z}) \times e(\Pi/\mathfrak{p}_j) = p-1$.

2. Proof

- (a) The extension S/M is Galois of degree $p-1$, therefore the number of prime ideals Π lying over one \mathfrak{p} is $\frac{p-1}{e(\Pi/\mathfrak{p})} = e(\mathfrak{p}/p\mathbb{Z})$.
- (b) Let $c(\Pi)$ be the orbit of Π under the action of the group $\langle \sigma_\mu \rangle$ of cardinal $p-1$ seen in lemma 6.4. If $\Pi = \pi_0$ then the orbit C_Π is of length 1. If $\Pi \neq \pi_0$ then the orbit C_Π is of length d . If C_Π has one ideal lying over \mathfrak{p} then it has all its d ideals lying over \mathfrak{p} because $\sigma_\mu(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. This can be extended to all Π' lying over \mathfrak{p} with $C_{\Pi'} \neq C_\Pi$ and it follows that when $\Pi \neq \pi_0$ then $d \mid e(\mathfrak{p}/p\mathbb{Z})$, number of ideals of S lying over \mathfrak{p} . There is one \mathfrak{p} with $e(\mathfrak{p}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ because C_{π_0} is the only orbit with one element.
- (c) The extension S/M is cyclic of degree $p-1$. There exists one field N with $M \subset N \subset S$ with degree $[N : M] = \frac{p-1}{d}$. If there were at least two different prime ideals \mathfrak{p}'_1 and \mathfrak{p}'_2 of N lying over \mathfrak{p} , it should follow that $\mathfrak{p}'_2 = \sigma_\mu^{jd}(\mathfrak{p}'_1)$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq d-1$ because the Galois group of S/M is $\langle \sigma_\mu \rangle$ and the Galois group of N/M is $\langle \sigma_\mu^d \rangle$. But, if a prime ideal π_k of S lies over \mathfrak{p}'_1 then $\sigma_\mu^d(\pi_k)$ should lie over \mathfrak{p}'_2 . From lemma 6.4, $\sigma_\mu^d(\pi_k) = \pi_k$ should imply that $\mathfrak{p}'_2 = \mathfrak{p}'_1$, contradiction. Therefore the only possibility is that \mathfrak{p} is fully ramified in N/M and thus $\mathfrak{p}O_N = \mathfrak{p}'^{(p-1)/d}$. Therefore $e(\mathfrak{p}'/\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{p-1}{d}$ and so $e(\mathfrak{p}'/p\mathbb{Z}) = e(\mathfrak{p}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times \frac{p-1}{d} \mid p-1$ and thus $e(\mathfrak{p}/p\mathbb{Z}) \mid d$. From previous result it follows that $e(\mathfrak{p}/p\mathbb{Z}) = d$. Then $d > 1$ because $\mu - v \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ from Stickelberger theorem. There are $\frac{p-1}{d} + 1$ prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i because, from relation (18) $p = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^\nu e(\mathfrak{p}_i/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1 + \nu \times d$.

□

Example: let us consider the case of prime numbers p with $\frac{p-1}{2}$ prime.

1. Singular primary negative \mathbb{Q} -fields

Here $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and $d \in \{2, \frac{p-1}{2}, p-1\}$. Straightforwardly $d = 2$ is not possible: $\mu^2 \equiv v^2 \pmod{p}$, then $\mu + v \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ because $\mu \not\equiv v \pmod{p}$, then $\mu^{(p-1)/2} + v^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, contradiction because $\mu^{(p-1)/2} = v^{(p-1)/2} = -1$. $d = p-1$ is not possible because $\mu^{(p-1)/2} - v^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore $d = \frac{p-1}{2}$, so the ramification of p in the singular \mathbb{Q} -field M is $e(\mathfrak{p}_0/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $e(\mathfrak{p}_1/p\mathbb{Z}) = e(\mathfrak{p}_2/p\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

2. Singular primary positive \mathbb{Q} -extensions and primary unit \mathbb{Q} -fields

Here $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $d \in \{2, \frac{p-1}{2}, p-1\}$. $d = 2$ is not possible : $\mu^2 - v^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $\mu + v \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ so $\mu \equiv v^{(p+1)/2} \pmod{p}$, so $B_{p-(p+1)/2} = B_{(p+1)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ where $B_{(p+1)/2}$ is a Bernoulli Number, contradiction because $B_{(p+1)/2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. $d = \frac{p-1}{2}$ is not possible because $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and

$v^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore $d = p - 1$, so the ramification of p in the singular \mathbb{Q} -field M is $e(\mathfrak{p}_0/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $e(\mathfrak{p}_1/p\mathbb{Z}) = p - 1$.

Acknowledgments: I thank Professor Preda Mihailescu for helpful frequent e-mail dialogues and error detections in some intermediate versions of this paper.

References

- [1] D. Hilbert, *The Theory of Algebraic Number Fields, Zahlbericht*, Springer, 1998.
- [2] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [3] R.A. Mollin, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1999.
- [4] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Numbers*, Springer-verlag, 1990.
- [5] R. Quême, *On π -adic expansion of singular integers of the p -cyclotomic field*, arXiv.org, preprint math.NT/0610968
`\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/math/pdf/0610/0610968.p`
- [6] P. Ribenboim, *13 Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [7] P. Ribenboim, *Classical Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, 2001.
- [8] L.C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields, second edition*, Springer, 1997.

Roland Quême

13 avenue du château d'eau

31490 Brax

France

mailto: roland.queme@wanadoo.fr

home page: <http://roland.queme.free.fr/>

MSC Classification : 11R18; 11R29; 11R32
